

No-Cloning Theorem on Quantum Logics

Takayuki Miyadera ^{*} and Hideki Imai ^{*,†}

^{*} Research Center for Information Security (RCIS),
National Institute of Advanced Industrial
Science and Technology (AIST).

Daibiru building 1003,
Sotokanda, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 101-0021, Japan.
(e-mail: miyadera-takayuki@aist.go.jp)

[†] Graduate School of Science and Engineering,
Chuo University.

1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan .

Abstract

This paper discusses the no-cloning theorem in a logico-algebraic approach. In this approach, an orthoalgebra is considered as a general structure for propositions in a physical theory. We proved that an orthoalgebra admits cloning operation if and only if it is a Boolean algebra. That is, only classical theory admits the cloning of states. If unsharp propositions are to be included in the theory, then a notion of effect algebra is considered. We proved that an atomic Archimedean effect algebra admitting cloning operation is a Boolean algebra. This paper also presents a partial result indicating a relation between cloning on effect algebras and hidden variables.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1982, Wootters and Zurek [1] and Dieks [2] elucidated the no-cloning theorem: unknown quantum states cannot be cloned. The no-go theorem that prohibits the universal cloning of quantum states often plays a central role in quantum information [3]. For instance, in quantum cryptography [4], applying this theorem, legitimate users could detect an eavesdropper who pilfered the information. Recently, Barnum, Barrett, Leifer and Wilce [5] reported a generalization of the theorem in general probability theory (or the convex approach), the framework of which is sufficiently broad to treat both classical theory and quantum theory as its examples. The structure of state space is considered a fundamental object for this framework. For instance, a classical theory can be characterized by its convex state space being a simplex. Barnum, Barrett, Leifer and Wilce elucidated that if the state of a system can be cloned, then the state space is a simplex. This paper discusses an approach to address this problem in the context of quantum logics. This approach was originated by Birkhoff and von Neumann [6] in 1936. Here, the structure of propositions is the most fundamental object. A theory is determined by specifying an algebra consisting of the propositions. A classical theory is identified with a Boolean algebra. Birkhoff and von Neumann studied properties that are satisfied by projection operators in Hilbert space. The proposition system of quantum theory, in contrast to classical theory, does not satisfy the distributive law and thus is not identified with a Boolean algebra. Nonetheless, it satisfies the weaker axioms for an orthomodular lattice. Since then, numerous studies have been conducted to justify the common Hilbert space formalism of quantum mechanics (see [7] and references therein). A typical study in this direction starts with a very general algebra and then certain reasonable conditions are imposed on it. In this approach, orthoalgebra is considered as a general structure; the Boolean algebra and the orthomodular lattice are examples for the same. If unsharp propositions are to be included in the theory, then a notion of effect algebra is considered [8]. The objective of this paper is to assess the cloning process on the above-mentioned algebras and the conditions required for them to satisfy the no-cloning theorem.

This paper is organized as follows: Section II presents a brief review of orthoalgebra. Section III provides our main result that can be regarded as a no-cloning theorem on the orthoalgebras. Here we prove that if an orthoalgebra admits cloning it is definitely a Boolean

algebra. Hence, only classical theory admits cloning. This result agrees with the result obtained by Barnum, Barrett, Leifer and Wilce in general probability theory. Section IV presents partial results of extension of the earlier result to effect algebras.

II. ORTHOALGEBRAS

An orthoalgebra, consisting of sharp propositions, is a generalized structure of the Boolean algebra and the orthomodular lattice, which play an important role in the investigation of quantum logic. Its definition is stated as follows: [7]

Definition 1 *Let us consider $(P, 0, 1, \oplus)$ consisting of a set P which contains two special elements 0 and 1 and a partially defined binary operation $\oplus$. If the quadruple satisfies the following conditions for all $p, q, r \in P$, then $(P, 0, 1, \oplus)$ is called an orthoalgebra.*

- (i) *If $p \oplus q$ is defined (denoted as $p \perp q$), then $q \oplus p$ is also defined and $p \oplus q = q \oplus p$ holds.*
- (ii) *If $q \perp r$ and $p \perp (q \oplus r)$ hold, then $p \perp q$ and $(p \oplus q) \perp r$, and $p \oplus (q \oplus r) = (p \oplus q) \oplus r$ hold.*
- (iii) *For every $p \in P$, there exists a unique $q \in P$ such that $p \perp q$ and $p \oplus q = 1$ hold. We represent such uniquely determined q as p' .*
- (iv) *If $p \perp p$, then $p = 0$.*

Example 1 *A simple example is the set of projection operators in Hilbert space. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $P(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all the projection operators on it. In $P(\mathcal{H})$, a partially defined binary operation is introduced by $p \oplus q = p + q$ (summation of operators), for $p, q \in P(\mathcal{H})$ with $pq = 0$. 0 and 1 are a null operator and an identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. Hence from the above definition, it can be derived that $(P(\mathcal{H}), 0, 1, \oplus)$ becomes an orthoalgebra.*

A partial order in $(P, 0, 1, \oplus)$ can be introduced.

Definition 2 *If there exists an element $r \in P$ such that $p \perp r$ and $q = p \oplus r$ hold, we denote as $p \leq q$ (or equivalently $q \geq p$).*

It can be confirmed that $\leq$ forms a partial order and satisfies $0 \leq p \leq 1$ for every element $p \in P$. That is, $(P, 0, 1, \leq)$ forms a bounded poset. We denote the least upper and the greatest lower bounds of $\{p, q\}$ by $p \vee q$ and $p \wedge q$ (unique), respectively, if they exist. If P is an orthoalgebra, it can be proved that $p \wedge p' = 0$ for any element $p \in P$. If $p \perp q$ and $p \vee q$ exists, it coincides with $p \oplus q$. An orthomodular poset may be defined as an orthoalgebra P such that the coherence law is satisfied. That is, for any mutually orthogonal p, q and $r \in P$, $(p \oplus q) \oplus r$ is defined. Two elements $p, q \in P$ are said to be compatible if there exist mutually orthogonal elements x, y and z satisfying $p = x \oplus z$ and $q = y \oplus z$. If an orthomodular poset P satisfies the compatibility condition, that is, every pair $p, q \in P$ is compatible, then P becomes a Boolean algebra.

Having defined an orthoalgebra P which is a set of propositions, we can now introduce states and dynamics on it.

Definition 3 *Let P be an orthoalgebra. A state on P is a map $\mu : P \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that, for any $p, q \in P$ with $p \perp q$, $\mu(p \oplus q) = \mu(p) + \mu(q)$ holds, and $\mu(p) \geq 0$ for any p and $\mu(1) = 1$ are satisfied.*

A nonnegative value $\mu(p)$ is interpreted as the probability to obtain ‘Yes’ when a measurement of p for the state μ is made. We assume a sufficient number of states on orthoalgebras, although there exist orthoalgebras with none; this assumption guarantees the existence of a tensor product of orthoalgebras that will be defined later [9].

The dynamics (or physical process), which is represented as a morphism between orthoalgebras, is discussed in the Heisenberg picture.

Definition 4 *Let P_1 and P_2 be orthoalgebras. A map $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ is called a morphism if it satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) *For any $p, q \in P_1$ with $p \perp q$, $\phi(p) \perp \phi(q)$ and $\phi(p \oplus q) = \phi(p) \oplus \phi(q)$ hold.*

(ii) *$\phi(1) = 1$ holds.*

In the Schrödinger picture, a state μ on P_2 is mapped onto a state $\mu \circ \phi$ on P_1 .

We often have to consider a composite system of two (or more) systems. An advantage of treating orthoalgebra is that a tensor product is naturally defined in its category. To define the tensor product we introduce the notion of bimorphism.

Definition 5 Let P, Q and L be orthoalgebras. A map $\beta : P \times Q \rightarrow L$ is called a bimorphism if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For $a, b \in P$ with $a \perp b$ and $q \in Q$, $\beta(a \oplus b, q) = \beta(a, q) \oplus \beta(b, q)$ holds.
- (ii) For $c, d \in Q$ with $c \perp d$ and $p \in P$, $\beta(p, c \oplus d) = \beta(p, c) \oplus \beta(p, d)$ holds.
- (iii) $\beta(1, 1) = 1$ holds.

Definition 6 Let P and Q be orthoalgebras. (T, τ) consisting of an orthoalgebra T and a bimorphism $\tau : P \times Q \rightarrow T$ is called a tensor product of P and Q if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) If L is an orthoalgebra and $\beta : P \times Q \rightarrow L$ is a bimorphism, there exists a morphism $\alpha : T \rightarrow L$ such that $\beta = \alpha \circ \tau$.
- (ii) Every element of T is a finite orthogonal sum of elements of the form $\tau(p, q)$ with $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$.

We represent T and $\tau(p, q)$ as $P \otimes Q$ and $p \otimes q$, respectively.

If there exists at least one bimorphism $\beta : P \times Q \rightarrow L$ the above defined tensor product exists. The existence of the bimorphism is often confirmed by the existence of a sufficiently rich set of states [9], which is assumed throughout the paper.

III. CLONING ON ORTHOALGEBRAS

This section describes a cloning process on orthoalgebras. Cloning is an operation that produces a pair of copies for an arbitrary given state. Classical theory realizes this operation easily. A simple model is illustrated as follows: Consider a classical system having a discrete finite sample space $\Omega_N = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Every state can be described by a probability distribution $(p_n)_{n \in \Omega_N}$ on it. There exists an observable that distinguishes all the pure states. A composite system with a doubled sample space $\Omega_N \times \Omega_N$ is considered. Measurement of the observable that perfectly distinguishes the pure states followed by the preparation of a pair of the identified state clones an arbitrary state. That is, a state (p_n) is mapped to a state $(p_{nm})_{(n,m) \in \Omega_N \times \Omega_N}$ on the composite system that is defined by $p_{nm} = p_n \delta_{nm}$, whose marginal state on each system coincides with the original state (p_n) .

The following steps describe the characterization of cloning operation in the algebraic setting: Consider an orthoalgebra P that has a separating state space. That is, $p = q$ follows if $p, q \in P$ satisfy $\omega(p) = \omega(q)$ for all the states ω . If a state ω on P is cloned, the cloned state ω' on $P \otimes P$ must satisfy $\omega'(q \otimes 1) = \omega'(1 \otimes q) = \omega(q)$. We described here that states are mapped to other states as time evolves; in the dual picture, however, observables are mapped backward with respect to time. That is, a map $\phi : P \otimes P \rightarrow P$ describes the time evolution. It should satisfy

$$\omega \circ \phi(q \otimes 1) = \omega \circ \phi(1 \otimes q) = \omega(q)$$

for any $q \in P$. If P has a separating state space, this condition implies that for every $q \in P$,

$$\phi(q \otimes 1) = \phi(1 \otimes q) = q$$

holds. We apply this relation as a defining property of a cloning map.

Definition 7 *Let P be an orthoalgebra. A morphism $\phi : P \otimes P \rightarrow P$ is called a cloning map if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *For any $p \in P$, $\phi(p \otimes 1) = p$ holds.*

(ii) *For any $p \in P$, $\phi(1 \otimes p) = p$ holds.*

If there exists a cloning map $\phi : P \otimes P \rightarrow P$, then P is said to satisfy a cloning property.

The following is our main theorem:

Theorem 1 *Let P be an orthoalgebra. P has a cloning property if and only if P is a Boolean algebra.*

Because a Boolean algebra can be considered as a set of sharp propositions in a classical system according to Stone's representation theorem, this theorem essentially claims that if cloning operation can be performed in a system, the system is classical. To prove this theorem, we consider a lemma with respect to the cloning map.

Lemma 1 *Let P be an orthoalgebra with a cloning property and $\phi : P \otimes P \rightarrow P$ be a cloning map. The following statements are satisfied:*

(i) *For $p, q \in P$, $\phi(p \otimes q) = 0$ if and only if $p \perp q$.*

(ii) For any $p \in P$, $\phi(p \otimes p) = p$ holds.

Proof: Let us begin with the ‘if’ part of (i). Assume that $p, q \in P$ satisfy $p \perp q$. Since $1 = q \oplus q'$ holds, we obtain $p \otimes 1 = p \otimes (q \oplus q') = p \otimes q \oplus p \otimes q'$. Since ϕ is a morphism, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \phi(p \otimes 1) \\ &= \phi(p \otimes q \oplus p \otimes q') \\ &= \phi(p \otimes q) \oplus \phi(p \otimes q'). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, with $1 = p \oplus p'$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \phi(1 \otimes q) \\ &= \phi(p \otimes q) \oplus \phi(p' \otimes q). \end{aligned}$$

That is, we have $p, q \geq \phi(p \otimes q)$. In an orthoalgebra, $p \perp q$ implies $p \wedge q = 0$. Thus we obtain $\phi(p \otimes q) = 0$.

Conversely, we assume $\phi(p \otimes q) = 0$ for some $p, q \in P$. We then obtain $p = \phi(p \otimes q')$ and $q = \phi(p' \otimes q)$. Since $(p \otimes q' \oplus p \otimes q) \oplus (p' \otimes q \oplus p' \otimes q')$ is defined (equals 1), $p \otimes q' \perp p' \otimes q$ follows. Therefore, due to the morphism quality of ϕ , we obtain $p \perp q$.

Proof of (ii). Since $p \perp p'$ and $1 = p \oplus p'$ hold, $p = \phi(1 \otimes p) = \phi(p \otimes p) \oplus \phi(p' \otimes p)$ follows. Applying (i), $\phi(p' \otimes p) = 0$ and $\phi(p \otimes p) = p$ hold. ■

Applying this lemma, the following two lemmas are proved as given below:

Lemma 2 *Let P be an orthoalgebra with a cloning property. Then P satisfies coherence law. That is, if $x, y, z \in P$ are mutually orthogonal with each other, $(x \oplus y) \oplus z$ is defined.*

Proof: As $x \oplus y$ is defined and $(x \oplus y) \oplus (x \oplus y)' = 1$ holds, we have

$$z = \phi(1 \otimes z) = \phi((x \oplus y) \otimes z) \oplus \phi((x \oplus y)' \otimes z).$$

Decomposing the first term in the right-hand side, we obtain

$$z = \phi(x \otimes z) \oplus \phi(y \otimes z) \oplus \phi((x \oplus y)' \otimes z) = \phi((x \oplus y)' \otimes z),$$

where Lemma 1 (i) is used. On the other hand, $x \oplus y = \phi((x \oplus y) \otimes 1)$ holds and $((x \oplus y) \otimes 1) \oplus ((x \oplus y)' \otimes z)$ is defined. Thus, due to the morphism quality of ϕ , $(x \oplus y) \oplus z$ is defined. ■

Lemma 3 *Let P be an orthoalgebra with a cloning property. Then any two elements are compatible. That is, for any $p, q \in P$, there exist mutually orthogonal elements $a, b, r \in P$ such that $p = r \oplus a$ and $q = r \oplus b$ hold. In addition, this decomposition is unique.*

Proof: Substituting $r := \phi(p \otimes q)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \phi(p \otimes 1) = r \oplus \phi(p \otimes q'), \\ q &= \phi(1 \otimes q) = r \oplus \phi(p' \otimes q). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $a = \phi(p \otimes q')$ and $b = \phi(p' \otimes q)$, we obtain $p = r \oplus a$ and $q = r \oplus b$. Since $p \otimes q' \perp p' \otimes q$ holds, $a \perp b$ follows.

If $p = r \oplus a$ and $q = r \oplus b$ are decompositions with mutually orthogonal r, a, b , we obtain

$$\phi(p \otimes q) = \phi((r \oplus a) \otimes (r \oplus b)) = \phi(r \otimes r) = r,$$

where Lemma 1 is used. Thus the decomposition is unique. ■

Proof of Theorem 1

The above two lemmas prove that every orthoalgebra with the cloning property satisfies the coherence law and the compatibility condition and is a Boolean algebra.

Conversely, let P be a Boolean algebra. We define $\phi : P \otimes P \rightarrow P$ by $\phi(p \otimes q) = p \wedge q$ and its natural extension to their orthogonal sums. Its well-definedness can be proved as follows. Let $\{p_m, q_m, r_n, s_n\} \subset P$ be a finite family satisfying $\bigoplus_m p_m \otimes q_m = \bigoplus_n r_n \otimes s_n$. Since P is Boolean, there exist finite mutually orthogonal nonvanishing elements $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset P$ and subsets $S_{p_m}, S_{q_m}, S_{r_n}, S_{s_n} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, such that the following are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} p_m &= \bigoplus_{i \in S_{p_m}} x_i \\ q_m &= \bigoplus_{i \in S_{q_m}} x_i \\ r_n &= \bigoplus_{i \in S_{r_n}} x_i \\ s_n &= \bigoplus_{i \in S_{s_n}} x_i. \end{aligned}$$

The condition $\bigoplus_m p_m \otimes q_m = \bigoplus_n r_n \otimes s_n$ indicates the followings:

- (i) $(S_{p_m} \times S_{q_m}) \cap (S_{p_{m'}} \times S_{q_{m'}}) = \emptyset$ for $m \neq m'$ and $(S_{r_n} \times S_{s_n}) \cap (S_{r_{n'}} \times S_{s_{n'}}) = \emptyset$ for $n \neq n'$ hold.

(ii) $\bigcup_m (S_{p_m} \times S_{q_m}) = \bigcup_n (S_{r_n} \times S_{s_n})$ holds. We denote $F := \bigcup_m (S_{p_m} \times S_{q_m})$.

Applying $p_m \wedge q_m = \bigoplus_{(i,i) \in S_{p_m} \times S_{q_m}} x_i$, $\bigoplus_m p_m \wedge q_m = \bigoplus_{(i,i) \in F} x_i$ holds, which equals $\bigoplus_n r_n \wedge s_n$. Thus ϕ is well-defined. It can be noted that this ϕ satisfies the conditions of the cloning map. ■

If an orthoalgebra P admits an orthoalgebra L and a bimorphism $\beta : P \times P \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following conditions, then P is a Boolean algebra.

(i) There exists a morphism $\phi : L \rightarrow P$.

(ii) For every $p \in P$, $\phi \circ \beta(p, 1) = \phi \circ \beta(1, p) = p$ holds.

In fact, according to the definition of $P \otimes P$, there exists a morphism $\alpha : P \otimes P \rightarrow L$ satisfying $\beta(p, 1) = \alpha(p \otimes 1) = p$ and $\beta(1, p) = \alpha(1 \otimes p) = p$ for every p , and Theorem 1 can be applied. It may be worth noting that some important examples such as $P = P(\mathcal{H})$ and $L = P(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ for a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ can be treated in this manner.

IV. CLONING ON EFFECT ALGEBRAS

In the previous section, we proved that if an orthoalgebra has a cloning property, then it is a Boolean algebra. This section considers a possible extension of this result to effect algebras. Let us begin with the definition of an effect algebra.

Definition 8 *Let us consider $(L, 0, 1, \oplus)$ consisting of a set L which contains two special elements 0 and 1 and a partially defined binary operation $\oplus$. If the quadruple satisfies the following conditions for all $p, q, r \in L$, then $(L, 0, 1, \oplus)$ is called an effect algebra:*

(i) *If $p \oplus q$ is defined (denoted by $p \perp q$), then $q \oplus p$ is also defined and $p \oplus q = q \oplus p$.*

(ii) *If $q \perp r$ and $p \perp (q \oplus r)$ hold, then $p \perp q$ and $(p \oplus q) \perp r$, and $p \oplus (q \oplus r) = (p \oplus q) \oplus r$ hold.*

(iii) *For every $p \in L$, there exists a unique $q \in L$ such that $p \perp q$ and $p \oplus q = 1$ hold. We represent such unique q as p' .*

(iv') *If $p \perp 1$, then $p = 0$.*

It may be noted that the condition (iv) in Definition 1 is stronger than (iv'). That is, every orthoalgebra is an effect algebra; the converse is not true. A partial order $\leq$ is introduced as in the orthoalgebra. While every element of an orthoalgebra is sharp, that is, $p \wedge p' = 0$ holds, elements of an effect algebra may not be sharp. If all the elements of an effect algebra are sharp, then this algebra turns out to be an orthoalgebra.

Example 2 *Let us consider a quantum system described by a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. $E(\mathcal{H})$ is defined as a set of all the positive operators x on $\mathcal{H}$ that satisfy $x \leq \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is an identity operator. $x \oplus y = x + y$ (summation as operators) is defined if $x + y \leq \mathbf{1}$ holds. 0 and 1 are the null operator and identity operator, respectively. The quadruple $(E(\mathcal{H}), 0, 1, \oplus)$ becomes an effect algebra.*

The notions of state, dynamics and tensor product are defined by simply replacing orthoalgebra by effect algebra in the previous definitions. Cloning condition is defined as in the orthoalgebra.

Definition 9 *Let L be an effect algebra. $\phi : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ is called a cloning map if and only if it satisfies $\phi(p \otimes 1) = \phi(1 \otimes p) = p$ for any $p \in L$. An effect algebra for which there exists a cloning map is said to satisfy a cloning property.*

The property stated in Lemma 1, in contrast to that in orthoalgebras wherein it played a crucial role, does not hold in effect algebras. Certain partial results on effect algebras are elucidated as follows. The first result is related to atomic effect algebras.

Definition 10 *Let L be an effect algebra. A non-zero element $a \in L$ is called an atom if and only if $[0, a] := \{x | 0 \leq x \leq a\} = \{0, a\}$ holds.*

Definition 11 *Let L be an effect algebra. If for every non-zero $p \in L$ there exists an atom $a \in L$ satisfying $a \leq p$, then L is called an atomic algebra.*

That is, an atomic algebra has 'smallest' units in it. On the other hand, the 'boundedness' of the algebra is imposed by another condition.

Definition 12 *Let L be an effect algebra. For every element $x \in L$, we define its isotropic index $\iota(x)$ as the maximal nonnegative integer n such that $na := a \oplus a \oplus \dots \oplus a$ (n times) is defined. If $\iota(x)$ is finite for every $x \neq 0$, then L is called an Archimedean algebra.*

The following is the first result on effect algebras.

Theorem 2 *If an atomic Archimedean effect algebra L has a cloning property, L is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof: First let us prove that every atomic element is a sharp element. Suppose a is an atom. As $[0, a] = \{0, a\}$, if $a \leq a'$ holds then $a \wedge a' = a$, otherwise $a \wedge a' = 0$. Assume that $a \leq a'$ holds ($a \perp a$ follows), then $\phi(a \otimes a') \geq \phi(a \otimes a)$ holds. Since $a = \phi(a \otimes 1) \geq \phi(a \otimes a)$ holds, $\phi(a \otimes a)$ is a or 0 . If $\phi(a \otimes a) = a$ holds, then it implies $\phi(a \otimes a') \geq a$. Then the cloning property leads to

$$a = \phi(a \otimes 1) = \phi(a \otimes a') \oplus \phi(a \otimes a) \geq 2a.$$

Therefore implying $a = 0$ which leads to a contradiction. Thus $\phi(a \otimes a) = 0$ should hold and $\phi(a \otimes a') = a$ follows. Since we assumed $a \perp a$, $2a = a \oplus a$ is defined and $\phi(2a \otimes a') = 2\phi(a \otimes a') = 2a$ holds. As $a' \geq \phi(2a \otimes a')$ holds, it means that $a' \geq 2a$ should hold; thus $3a \in L$ is defined. Repeating the same arguments, we obtain $Na \in L$ for arbitrarily large N . As L is Archimedean, $a = 0$ follows. This contradicts the nonvanishing characteristics of a . Thus it can be concluded that $a \wedge a' = 0$ for every atom a .

Consider an arbitrary element $p \in L$. Assume a non-zero element $x \in L$ satisfying $x \leq p, p'$. As L is atomic, there exists an atom $a \leq x$; thus $a \leq p, p'$ holds, implying $a' \geq p, p'$ and $a \leq p \leq a'$. It indicates $a \wedge a' = a$. We, however, proved that it does not hold for an atom a ; therefore, this leads to a contradiction. Hence it can be concluded that $x = 0$ and $p \wedge p' = 0$. Thus all the elements in L are sharp, which means that L is an orthoalgebra. According to Theorem 1, an orthoalgebra with cloning property is a Boolean algebra. ■

In non-atomic effect algebras, the above theorem does not hold. The following is a simple example. $\Omega_N := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ is a finite discrete set consisting of N points. Let $L_N := [0, 1]^{\Omega_N} = \{f | \Omega_N \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ be the set of all functions from Ω_N to $[0, 1]$. On L_N , a partial binary operation $\oplus$ can be defined by $(f \oplus g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega_N$ if $f(y) + g(y) \in [0, 1]$ for all $y \in \Omega_N$ holds. Both 0 and 1 are defined in a natural manner. It can be noted that L_N becomes an effect algebra although it is not an orthoalgebra. In addition, it can be proved that $L_N \otimes L_N$ is isomorphic to $L_{N^2} := \{f | \Omega_N \times \Omega_N \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$. A cloning map can be defined by

$$\phi(f)(x) := f(x, x)$$

for $f \in L_{N^2}$. It may be worth noting that the sharp elements of this effect algebra forms a Boolean algebra.

Based on the above example, it may be expected that the cloning property on effect algebras is related to the classicality. We now explain the definition of hidden variable introduced by Pulmannová [10]. It uses the following MV-algebra [11]:

Definition 13 *Let M be a set with two special elements 0 and 1. If on M a binary operation $+$ and a unary operation $'$ are defined and satisfy the following conditions for all $a, b, c \in M$, then $(M, +, ', 0, 1)$ is called an MV-algebra.*

$$(i) \quad a + b = b + a$$

$$(ii) \quad (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$$

$$(iii) \quad a + a' = 1$$

$$(iv) \quad a + 0 = a$$

$$(v) \quad (a')' = a$$

$$(vi) \quad 0' = 1$$

$$(vii) \quad a + 1 = 1$$

$$(viii) \quad (a' + b)' + b = (a + b')' + a.$$

If we define a partial binary operation $\oplus$ by $a \oplus b := a + b$ only for $a, b \in M$ with $a \leq b'$, then $(M, 0, 1, \oplus)$ becomes an effect algebra. The states on an MV-algebra are defined by the states on its corresponding effect algebra.

Definition 14 ([10]) *Let L be an effect algebra. We consider that L admits hidden variables if and only if there exists an MV-algebra, M satisfying the following conditions.*

(i) *There exists a morphism from L to M , that is, a map $h : L \rightarrow M$ such that for all $p, q \in L$ with $p \perp q$, $h(p \oplus q) = h(p) + h(q)$ holds.*

(ii) *For every state ω on L , there exists a state $\bar{\omega}$ on M such that $\bar{\omega} \circ h(q) = \omega(q)$ for every $q \in L$ holds.*

The following theorem is a partial result on non-atomic effect algebras.

Theorem 3 *Let L be an effect algebra with a cloning property. Suppose there exists a family $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N\} \subset L$ such that $[0, p_n]$ is a linearly ordered ideal for every p_n and $1 = \bigoplus_{n=1}^N p_n$ holds. L admits a hidden variable.*

Proof: We define a binary operation $+$ on $[0, p_n]$ by $x + y = x \oplus y$ for $x, y \in [0, p_n]$ with $x \perp y$ and $x + y = p_n$ otherwise. A unary operation $'$ is defined by $x' := p_n \ominus x$ for $x \in [0, p_n]$. (That is, x' is a unique element that satisfies $x \oplus x' = p_n$.) Then it can be noted that $([0, p_n], +, ', 0, p_n)$ becomes an MV-algebra. Let us consider their Cartesian product, $\Pi_n[0, p_n]$, which becomes again an MV-algebra by defining the summation and the unary operation ‘pointwise’ and $1 := (p_n)_{n=1, \dots, N}$. That is, the summation is defined as $(x_n)_{n=1, \dots, N} + (y_n)_{n=1, \dots, N} := (x_n + y_n)_{n=1, \dots, N}$, and the unary operation is defined as $(x_n)'_{n=1, \dots, N} := (x'_n)_{n=1, \dots, N}$. We define a map $h : L \rightarrow M$ by $h(x) = (\phi(p_n \otimes x))_{n=1, \dots, N}$. $h(x) = h(y)$ means $\phi(p_n \otimes x) = \phi(p_n \otimes y)$ for all n . As $x = \phi(1 \otimes x) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^N \phi(p_n \otimes x)$ holds, it implies $x = y$. In addition, for any $(x_n)_{n=1, \dots, N}$ satisfying $x_n \in [0, p_n]$ for each n , $x := \bigoplus_{n=1}^N x_n$ is defined and it satisfies $\phi(p_n \otimes x) = \phi(p_n \otimes x_n) = x_n$ for each n since p_n is a sharp element (Lemma 1.9.6 in [7]) and $p_n \wedge x_m = 0$ holds for $n \neq m$. Thus h is a bijection.

If a pair $x, y \in L$ satisfies $x \perp y$, $x \oplus y = \bigoplus_{n=1}^N \phi(p_n \otimes (x \oplus y))$ holds. Therefore $h(x \oplus y) = (\phi(p_n \otimes (x \oplus y)))_{n=1, \dots, N} = (\phi(p_n \otimes x) + \phi(p_n \otimes y))_{n=1, \dots, N} = h(x) + h(y)$ follows. That is, h satisfies the condition (i). Conversely, if $h(x) \leq h(y)'$ holds, it means $\phi(p_n \otimes x) \oplus \phi(p_n \otimes y) \leq p_n$ for each n . It entails $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^N \phi(p_n \otimes x)) \oplus (\bigoplus_{n=1}^N \phi(p_n \otimes y)) \leq 1$. That is, $x \leq y'$ holds. Thus we proved that $x \leq y'$ if and only if $h(x) \leq h(y)'$. Let ω be a state on L . A state on M , $\bar{\omega}$, is defined by $\bar{\omega}(\{x_n\}) = \omega(\bigoplus_{n=1}^N x_n)$. This $\bar{\omega}$ satisfies the condition (ii). ■

V. SUMMARY

This paper considers the no-cloning theorem on orthoalgebras and effect algebras. We proved that an orthoalgebra admits cloning operation if and only if it is a Boolean algebra. That is, cloning operation can be performed only on classical systems. In addition, we proved that an atomic Archimedean effect algebra with a cloning property is a Boolean algebra. We also obtained a partial result that indicates a connection between the cloning property and hidden variables. Although we conjecture that effect algebra with the cloning property admits a hidden variable, we have not succeeded in proving it.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank an anonymous referee for fruitful comments.

- [1] W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek : A single quantum cannot be cloned, *Nature*, **299**, 982 (1982).
- [2] D. Dieks : Communication by EPR devices, *Phys. Lett. A* **92**, 271 (1982).
- [3] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang : *Quantum computation and quantum information*, Cambridge University Press, (2000).
- [4] C. H. Bennett and G. Brassard : Quantum Cryptography: Public Key Distribution and Coin Tossing, *Proceedings of IEEE, International Conference on Computer Systems and Signal Processing*, Bangalore, India, IEEE, New York (1984).
- [5] H. Barnum, J. Barrett, M. Leifer, and A. Wilce : A generalized no-broadcasting theorem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 240501 (2007).
- [6] G. Birkhoff and J. von Neumann: Logic of quantum mechanics, *Ann. Math.* **37**, 823 (1936).
- [7] A. Dvurečenskij and S. Pulmannová : *New Trends in Quantum Structures*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2000).
- [8] D. J. Foulis and M. K. Bennett : Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics, *Found. Phys.* **24**, 1325 (1994).
- [9] D. J. Foulis and M. K. Bennett : Tensor products of orthoalgebras, *Order* **10**, 271 (1993).
- [10] S. Pulmannová : Hidden Variables and Bell Inequalities on Quantum Logics, *Foundations of Physics* **32** No.2, 193 (2002).
- [11] C. C. Chang : Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88**, 467 (1958).